DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 422 881 IR 019 022

AUTHOR Wagner, Ellen D.

TITLE Interaction Strategies for Online Training Designs.

PUB DATE 1998-00-00

NOTE 6p.; In: Distance Learning '98. Proceedings of the Annual

Conference on Distance Teaching & Learning (14th, Madison,

WI, August 5-7, 1998); see IR 018 976.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Audiovisual Communications; *Communication (Thought

Transfer); Cooperative Learning; *Distance Education;

Educational Objectives; Educational Strategies; *Instructional Design; *Interaction; Interpersonal

Relationship; Outcomes of Education; Training

IDENTIFIERS Collaborative Learning; *Interactive Communication; Strategy

Choice; Two Way Communication

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses interactivity enabled by two-way technologies providing real-time exchanges of audio, video, text, and graphical information among distributed participants, as one of distance learning's primary identifying characteristics. Background is provided on interaction agents, including three types of instructional interactions--interactions between the learner and the instructor, interactions among learners, and interactions between learners and content. Interaction outcomes are summarized; the two purposes of interactions in this context are to change learners and to move learners toward an action state of goal attainment. The following types of categories that focus on what learners are to achieve as a result of an interaction are then described: participation; communication; feedback; elaboration; learner control/self-regulation; motivation; negotiation; team-building; discovery; exploration; clarification; and closure. The paper concludes with a discussion of applying interaction in practice. (DLS)



8
% 7
\$
7

"PERMISSION TO REMATERIAL HAS BEEN	PRODUCE THI N GRANTED B
C.H. Olgr	en

Interaction Strategies for Online Training Designs

Ellen D. Wagner, Ph.D. Vice President, Informania, Inc.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION		
Office of Educational Research and Improvement		
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION		
CENTED (EDIC)		

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Introduction

In the world of distance learning, very few topics have generated as much discussion and debate as has the construct of interaction. Distance learning practitioners tend to view interaction as the single most significant attribute that defines a contemporary distance learning experience. That is, the interactivity enabled by two-way technologies providing real-time exchanges of audio, video, text and graphical information among distributed participants serves as one of distance learning's primary identifying characteristics.

Interaction Agents

In attempting to bring a measure of order to discussions of interaction, Moore (1989) offered a schema in which he identified three types of instructional interactions:

- Interactions that occur between the learner and the instructor.
- ❖ Interactions that occur among learners.
- ❖ Interactions that take place between learners and the content they are trying to master.

One of the benefits that this schema offered designers, implementers and administrators of distance learning programs over the past decade is that it has provided a sense of direction to the transactions that are typically involved in a distance learning endeavor. Furthermore, Moore's interaction schema implied purpose, intent and/or intended outcome of an interaction by virtue of indicating who or what was to be involved in a transaction. However, the explicit description of an interaction's purposes, intents and outcomes were still left to one's imagination. Moore's schema did not really describe the intended outcomes of interactions. Instead, it identified the agents involved in or impacted by a given interaction. In other words, it described with whom—or with what—interactions will occur, within the context of a specific distance learning transaction.

Given the logarithmic explosion of technology capacities in the past decade, combined with the integration of interactive technologies in just about every facet of contemporary life, it may be that focusing on real-time, technologically-enabled interactivity as a defining attribute of distance learning may be an artifact of the past. The value of interactive technologies as a resource for extending the reach of instruction and information can now more easily emerge as a means to an end. The earlier emphasis upon the agents of an interaction can now help set the stage for a more meaningful discussion of the outcomes enabled by a variety of types of interactions.

Interaction Outcomes

When focusing upon interaction outcomes rather than interaction agents, interactions can more effectively serve as a means to the ends of learning and performance improvement. In this context, interactions have two purposes:



- They must change learners.
- They must move learners toward an action state of goal attainment.

By emphasizing the outcome of an interaction, it is easier to see the impact that an interaction has on learners. Interactions enable the active learner participation in the instructional/training/performance improvement process. They allow learners to tailor learning experiences to meet their specific needs or abilities. Interactions enable clarification of a new idea and promote transfer of new ideas to already held concept frameworks. Interactions promote intrinsic motivation on the art of a learner by highlighting the relevancy that new information may have under specific circumstances.

Types of Interactions

Wagner (1997) has identified a number of interaction categories by focusing on what learners are to achieve as a result of an interaction:

- Interaction for participation: Interaction for participation provides learners with a means of engagement. In its simplest state, this may mean meeting fellow learners for the first time so that the basic level at which human relationships occur is established. In its more complex states it may emphasize the willingness of individuals to assume leadership responsibilities for members of their particular cohort group.
- ❖ Interaction for communication: This kind of interaction features opportunities to share information and opinions, or to intentionally influence the opinions or beliefs of others, all of which are particularly germane when working in instructional, training and/or performance support settings. It includes clearly articulating expectations, offers opportunities for personal expression, encourages information exchange without fear of being judged or punished, may help persuade an individual to subscribe to a particular point of view.
- ❖ Interaction for feedback: This refers to any information that allows learners to judge the quality of his or her performance. Wagner's (1994) review of feedback literature refers to a variety of conditions of feedback, noting that feedback tends to be considered from two differing perspectives. From a behavioristic perspective, feedback provides reinforcement, which is intended to correct and direct performance. Cognitivists suggest that feedback provide learners with information about the correctness of a response so that they can either determine if a response is right or wrong, or to allow learners to correct an incorrect response so that long-term retention of correct information is enabled. In either case learners need to obtain information from a variety of sources (from instructors, from other learners, from their own observations, from information resources) from which they can then judge the quality of their own performance.
- ❖ Interaction for elaboration: From a cognitive perspective, elaborating on information (that is, coming up with alternative examples to explain a new idea, or developing alternative explanations for why an idea may be framed in a particular way) makes new information more meaningful for learners. By expanding, or even manipulating a bit of information associated with a given idea, it is easier to recognize all of the various conceptual "hooks" that may be associated with that information. The extra



cognitive "practice" that results from generating alternative interpretations make it easier for learners to integrate new information in their existing cognitive framework. Learners are then better able to develop their own mnemonic devices to improve the ways that they actually process new information for long-term retention and recall.

- ❖ Interaction for learner control/self-regulation: Interaction provides learners with the information needed to manage the depth of study, range of content covered, type of alternative media needed for information presentations and time actually spent on a specific learning task. McCombs and her colleagues (1992) have noted that the depth and breadth of information processed, as well as what and how much is learned and remembered, are influenced by the following factors:
 - Self-awareness and beliefs about personal control, competence and ability.
 - Clarity and salience of personal values, interests and goals.
 - Personal expectations for success and failure.
 - Affect, emotion, and general states of mind and the resulting motivation to learn.

Interaction for learner control or self regulation is particularly important for preparing individuals to be life-long learners, particularly if learning is to take place in a distant or a distributed learning context. Learner control and self-regulation deal with the ability of a learner to keep himself or herself "on task," to mediate the need for additional information to complete one's understanding of a new idea, and to recognize when the learning task has been completed.

- ❖ Interaction for motivation: Curiosity, creativity and higher order thinking are stimulated by relevant, authentic learning tasks of optimal difficulty and novelty for each student. Intense negative conditions and emotions (e.g., feeling insecure, worrying about failure) can thwart this enthusiasm. The degree to which a learner can ascertain the presence or absence of negative factors will have a direct impact on his or her ability to learn. This is why it is important for learners to have opportunities for making these determinations through a variety of information sources, such as asking questions, clarifying statements, reviewing guidelines and so on.
- ❖ Interaction for negotiation: Determining the willingness of another individual to engage in a dialogue, to come to consensus, or to agree to conform to terms of an agreement are all examples of interactions for negotiation. Negotiations in learning are particularly relevant in a time where constructivist learning models allow individuals to capitalize upon their own (appropriate) interpretations of reality to enhance relevancy, motivation and application. Being able to clearly articulate the terms of a learning agreement sets the stage for subsequent action. If such terms have not been defined and agreed to, the likelihood of achieving a successful outcome is greatly reduced.
- ❖ Interaction for team-building: Interaction for team-building is necessary to ensure that individual members of a team actively support the goals of the group. Interactions facilitate such desirable behaviors as recognition and acceptance of individual differences, expression of respect for the team as well as for its members, effective listening, a shared sense of responsibility and the ability to confirm expectations within the group.



- ❖ Interaction for discovery: It is highly unusual for new discoveries to occur in an intellectual vacuum. This category of interaction refers to the cross-fertilization of ideas that occurs when people share their ideas and perspectives with one another in the pursuit of defining new constructs.
- ❖ Interaction for exploration: Closely related to "interaction for discovery," interaction for exploration provides a vehicle for defining the scope, depth and breadth of a new idea. Just as it is important to recognize a new idea, it is also important to distinguish a new idea from extant ideas, and to determine parameters within which a new idea will retain its unique identity. This category of interaction helps define the parameters within which such distinctions can be made.
- ❖ Interaction for clarification: This relates to the ability to navigate one's way through a sea of performance expectations that may or may not be clearly articulated. An example of this category of interaction may include but is not necessarily limited to determining if one's person interpretation is what another person actually intended by restating an expectation in one's owns words.
- ❖ Interaction for closure: Just as learners need to know where to begin a specific learning endeavor, learners also need to know when they are done with the endeavor. In an era marked by having access to almost limitless information resources, the ability to "bound" an activity is critical, whether one is writing a term paper or staying "in scope" on a contracted project. This means being able to determine what expectations exist and to also determine when those expectations have been met. It is a rare individual who can make these determinations without engaging in dialogue.

Applying Interaction in Practice

Using the categories of interaction noted above as conceptual benchmarks, it is fairly easy to make the case that interaction (in any one of its many exemplifications) is a necessary ingredient for a quality learning experience. What is not so clear, at least not when viewing interaction as an independent construct, is the value that interaction brings to a learning endeavor when interaction is viewed out of the context of a specific learning endeavor. For example, one might attempt to quantify the amount of interaction that is needed to ensure the quality of a learning experience. One may be interested in determining how often interaction should occur for a learning experience to be effective. There may even be some interest in determining what types of interaction are the most effective. However, if any of these attempts are made, it is hard to imagine that the results of these inquiries will offer any useful insights or understanding unless there is context available to help interpret those metrics.

The best rule of thumb for effectively designing an interactive learning experience—whether it happens to be distance learning, online learning or face-to-face, instructor-led learning experiences—is to first consider the goals and objectives of a specific learning experience. From this perspective, it is both far more appropriate and effective to begin the process of selecting strategies and tactics needed to achieve the desired ends of the learning experience, for the specific audience at hand, given the specific conditions likely to be encountered in a given setting. In this way, interaction can serve as an outcome of clearly conceptualized, well designed, and well developed instruction and training.



References

- McCombs, B. L. et al (1992). Learner-Centered Psychological Principles: Guidelines for School Redesign and Reform. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association and the Midcontinent Regional Education Laboratory.
- Moore, M. G. (1989). Three modes of interaction. A presentation of the NUCEA Forum: Issues in Instructional Interactivity, National University Continuing Education Association Annual Meeting, April, 1989, Salt Lake City, UT.
- Wagner, E. D. (1994). In support of a function definition of interaction. The American Journal of Distance Education, 8(2), 6–29.
- Wagner, E. D. (1997). Interactivity: From agents to outcomes. In T. E. Cyrs, Ed. *Teaching and Learning at a Distance: What it Takes to Effectively Design, Deliver and Evaluate Programs*. Volume 71, Fall, 1997. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers



Address: Informania, Inc.

444 De Haro Street, Suite 128

San Francisco, CA 94107

Email: edwagner@informania.com

URL: www.informania.com

Phone: (415) 626-7343 Fax: (415) 626-7345





Sign

here, → se

U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



CINFALFIXE

(over)

OLGERN

wsc. ED4

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	l:	
Title: 14th ANNUAL CONFERENCE	E ON DISTANCE TEACHING	AND LEARNING
Author(s): VA		
Corporate Source: LNIVERSITY OF CUISCON	SIN-MADISON	Publication Date: 8/4/98
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Reand electronic media, and sold through the ERI reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	timely and significant materials of interest to the edu- sources in Education (RIE), are usually made availab C Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit	ole to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy is given to the source of each document, and,
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample	Sample	Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pe aproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proce	
as indicated above. Reproduction fro	urces Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permiss m the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by pers e copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit re ors in response to discrete inquiries.	ons other than ERIC employees and its system production by libraries and other service agencies

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

PLBLISHED PROCEEDINGS ALSO /	IVAIL ABLE FLOW
l Publisher/Distributor:	
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON	
ANIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON Address: 1050 UNIVERSITY AVE. Rm B136 MADISON, WI 53706	
4 2 3 1 2 1 1 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
MADISON, WI 53106	
Price: of plas 541PP-NG	
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REP	RODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other thaddress:	an the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and
Name:	
Address:	
	•
	•
<u> </u>	
V 1441	
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:	·
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

K00 West Street, 2nd Floor

Laurel, Mary and 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com



3/97) 188 (Rev. 9/97)